

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/728,652 | 12/05/2003 | Judith Kelleher-Andersson | 109015-032 | 8455 |
| 759 | 90 02/08/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| BELL, BOYD | & LLOYD LLC | WOOD, AMANDA P | | |
| P.O. Box 1135 | | | | |
| Chicago, IL 60690-1135 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 3 . | | | 1655 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/728,652 | KELLEHER-ANDERSSON ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Amanda P. Wood | 1655 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _· | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/728,652

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of selecting a neurogenic agent, classified in class 435, subclass 325, for example.
- II. Claim 14, drawn to a method of treating a disorder by administering imidazole, classified in class 514, subclass 396, for example.
- III. Claim 15, drawn to a method of treating a disorder by administering an aminopyrimidine, classified in class 514, subclass 49, for example.
- IV. Claim 16, drawn to method of treating a disorder by administering a nicotinamide, classified in class 514, subclass 613, for example.
- V. Claim 17, drawn to a method of treating a disorder by administering an aminomethyl phenoxypiperidine, classified in class 514, subclass 315, for example.
- VI. Claim 18, drawn to a method for treating a disorder by administering an aryloxypiperidine, classified in class 514, subclass 315, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The methods of Groups I-VI are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of

Application/Control Number: 10/728,652

Art Unit: 1655

operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone. For example, the method of Group I is drawn to a method for selecting a neurogenic agent, whereas the methods of Groups II-VI are drawn to methods for treating a disorder. Furthermore, the distinct inventions of Groups II-VI are each drawn to method of treating a disorder using mutually exclusive compounds. One compound would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another.

They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,652

Art Unit: 1655

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the multiple different test agent compounds recited in claims 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (i.e., choose one of the following compounds: fused imidazole, aminopyrimidine, nicotinamide, aminomethyl phenoxypiperidine, or aryloxypiperidine) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1655

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amanda P. Wood whose telephone number is (571)

272-8141. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

APW Examiner Art Unit 1655

APW

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER